

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,537	11/25/2003	Theresa Callaghan	ROC026DIV1	5734
27777	7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. JOHNSON			COE, SUSAN D	
JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/721,537	CALLAGHAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susan D. Coe	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 C</u>	October 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 11-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction and/or subject to restriction.	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	D) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,537

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed October 4, 2004, has been received and entered. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claim 1 has been cancelled.
- 3. Claims 11-40 are pending.

Specification

The specification is objected to for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

Applicant did not reply to these objections in the filed response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 11-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

In paragraph 8 of the previous Office action, claim 11 was rejected under both 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph and under 35 U.S.C. 101. All of applicant's arguments regarding this ground of rejection have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Office action stated that "undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention as claimed" and that "the PTO has the burden of giving reasons...why the specification is not enabling." However, the previous rejection did not state that undue experimentation would be required to practice the invention set forth in claim 11 or that the specification was not enabling. Claim 11 was not rejected was not rejected under 112, first paragraph, but under 112, second paragraph and 101 as being an improper method claim.

Applicant also argues that claim 11 does set forth step involved in the process because the claim recites topically applying the composition. However, as discussed in MPEP 2173.05(q),

Although a claim should be interpreted in light of the specification disclosure, it is generally considered improper to read limitations contained in the specification into the claims. See In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 162 USPQ 541 (CCPA 1969) and In re Winkhaus, 527 F.2d 637, 188 USPQ 129 (CCPA 1975), which discuss the premise that one cannot rely on the specification to impart limitations to the claim that are not recited in the claim.

Applicant has not stated a purpose for which the composition is applied. Thus, the metes and bound of claim are unclear since it is improper to read limitations from the specification into the claims in this instance.

Double Patenting

5. Claims 11-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,410,062 for the reasons set forth in the previous Office action.

In response to this rejection, applicant states that a terminal disclaimer will be filed when allowable subject matter is indicated. Thus, at this time, this rejection is still considered valid.

6. No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,537

Art Unit: 1654

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (571) 272-0963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Susan D. Coe

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1654